## Microfluidization of graphite and formulation of graphene-based conductive inks

P. G. Karagiannidis, S. A. Hodge, Z. Xu, L. Lombardi, F. Tomarchio, A. Katsounaros, N. Decorde, S. Milana, I. Goykhman, F. Torrisi, A. C. Ferrari

Cambridge Graphene Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0FA, UK acf26@cam.ac.uk

Graphene inks are a rapidly expanding research area [1-2]. Applications include printable antennas [3]. and electrodes in (opto)electronic [4] or energy storage devices [5]. However, the current production routes (sonication [6] and high shear-mixing [7]) give low concentrations of few layer graphene (<0.2 mg/ml) [2,7] and require time consuming centrifugation to remove non-exfoliated flakes [2,6,7]. Here we exfoliate graphite in an aqueous surfactant solutions (sodium deoxycholate) using a microfluidic processor (fig.1a). At a flow rate of 120 ml/min we get 1 mg/ml single/few layers graphene (20% of single layer) with a production rate of 65 mg/h. This rate, for the same energy input (100 MJ/m<sup>3</sup>), starting graphite concentration (50 mg/ml) and volume (~200 ml) is 50% higher than shear mixing [7] and 1500% times higher than sonication [7]. Unlike sonication or shear mixing, in microfluidization all the material is uniformly exposed to intensive shear, thus the centrifugation step can be avoided and graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) (mean thickness ~12 nm) can be produced (80 mg/ml at a rate of 7.2 g/h). Conductive inks are formulated by adjusting the viscosity in the range of hundreds of mPas suitable for blade coating, flexographic or screen printing. We employ sodium carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) (fig.1b) as a binder, stabilizer and rheology modifier, reducing the viscosity from 600 mPas at 100 s<sup>-1</sup> to 160 mPas at 1000 s<sup>-1</sup> (thixotropic behaviour) thus making the ink easier to coat or print. The inks are used for the fabrication of conductive fibers (fig.1c) using a wet spinning process, coatings (fig.1d) using flexo/screen printing, and aerogels (fig.1e) using freeze drying.

- [1] A. C. Ferrari et al. Nanoscale 7 4598 (2015)
- [2] F. Torrisi et al. ACS Nano 6 2992 (2012)
- [3] X. Huang et al. Scientific Reports 5 18298 (2015)
- [4] F. Bonaccorso et. al. Nature Phot. 4 611 (2010)
- [5] F. Bonaccorso et. al. Science 347 1246501 (2015)
- [6] Y. Hernandez et al. Nature Nanotechnology 3 563 (2008)
- [7] K. R. Paton et al. Nature Materials 13 624 (2014)



Fig. 1. a) Microfluidic processing of graphite, b) rheologoy adjustment by CMC and fabrication of c) fibers using a wet spinning process; d) coatings (antennas) using flexo/screen printing; and e) aerogels using freeze drying.